



ADVOCACY WORKING GROUP
MARKET STUDIES PROJECT

MARKET STUDIES INFORMATION STORE

SECTOR:

OTHER

UPDATED: DECEMBER 2015

Information Store

Other

Jurisdiction:	Australia	Range of Possible Outcomes										
Market:	Waterfront container stevedoring											
End Date:	Ongoing, yearly report	Competition Enforcement <input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer Enforcement <input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer Education <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Business Education <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Voluntary Business Compliance <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Voluntary Business Action <input type="checkbox"/>	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law <input type="checkbox"/>	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure <input type="checkbox"/>	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy <input type="checkbox"/>	Referral to Third Parties <input type="checkbox"/>	No Problems Found <input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:	Ongoing, annually since 1998											
Source of idea for study:	Direction under Part VIIA of the <i>Competition and Consumer Act 2010</i> (CCA)											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	To monitor and report on the prices, costs and profits of container terminal operator companies at major Australian ports and present the ACCC's monitoring results and observations about the role of competition in Australian container stevedoring.											
Link to report:	https://www.accc.gov.au/publications/container-stevedoring-monitoring-report											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Australia	Range of Possible Outcomes										
Market:	All markets, monitoring effect of repeal of carbon tax											
End Date:	Ongoing											
Duration:	Quarterly, since January 2015											
Source of idea for study:	Pursuant to s 60J of the CCA, the ACCC is required to report to the Minister on its operations relating to the carbon tax price reduction obligation.	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	During the carbon tax repeal transition period (01/07/2014 to 30/06/2015) the price reduction obligation required suppliers of regulated goods to pass through all cost savings relating to the regulated supply that were directly or indirectly attributable to the carbon tax repeal. This study monitored compliance.											
Link to report:	https://www.accc.gov.au/publications/carbon-tax-price-reduction-obligation-quarterly-reports-on-the-acccs-operations											

Jurisdiction:	Australia	Range of Possible Outcomes										
Market:	Water (rural water market; broader than mere utilities)											
End Date:	Ongoing											
Duration:	Annually since FY2009/10											
Source of idea for study:	Ministerial Direction	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	To provide information on regulated water charges, transformation arrangements, termination of network access, compliance with the Commonwealth Water Market and Water Charge Rules and related issues.											
Link to report:	https://www.accc.gov.au/publications/accc-water-monitoring-report											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	US - FTC	Range of Possible Outcomes									
Market:	Peer-to-peer platforms										
End Date:	June 2015	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found									
Duration:	1 day workshop										
Source of idea for study:	FTC experience										
Outcome (tick relevant columns):			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	The FTC held a workshop to explore issues relating to emerging internet peer-to-peer platforms—often called the “sharing” economy—and the economic activity these platforms facilitate. The workshop examined competition, consumer protection, and economic issues arising in the sharing economy to promote more informed analysis of its competitive dynamics as well as benefits and risks to consumers. The workshop considered if, and the extent to which, existing regulatory frameworks can be responsive to sharing economy business models while maintaining appropriate consumer protections. It also examined how various regulatory choices may affect competition and consumers.										
Link to report:	Workshop transcript, video, an agenda, and additional event-related materials are available at: https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/events-calendar/2015/06/sharing-economy-issues-facing-platforms-participants-regulators Report is expected.										

Jurisdiction:	Poland	Range of Possible Outcomes									
Market:	Airports management										
End Date:	February 2015	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found									
Duration:	23/08/2013-27/02/2015										
Source of idea for study:	Complaint of a pilot training company										
Outcome (tick relevant columns):			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	Airport’s refusal to make its premises available for the purpose of pilot training.										
Link to report:											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Australia	Range of Possible Outcomes									
Market:	All markets, monitoring effect of carbon tax										
End Date:	October 2014	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:	Quarterly for nine months (started January 2014)										
Source of idea for study:	Directions made under s 95ZF of the CCA										
Outcome (tick relevant columns):			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	To formally monitor prices, costs and profits in order to assess the general impact of the carbon tax scheme in Australia.										
Link to report:	http://www.accc.gov.au/publications/monitoring-of-prices-costs-profits-to-assess-the-general-effect-of-the-carbon-tax-scheme-in-australia										

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Spain	Range of Possible Outcomes										
Market:	Technical Vehicle Inspection Services											
End Date:	June, 2014	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Duration:	1 year											
Source of idea for study:	The Competition Authority carried out an analysis of the state of this market in 2004, noting a number of competition issues. Ten years later it seemed appropriate to re-assess the situation of the market.											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The technical vehicle inspection (TVI) service is an economic activity forming part of the industrial quality and safety verification and assurance system. • The predominance of the concession system considerably restricts the competitive dynamic that there could be among stations in each autonomous community. • Both national and autonomous (regional) legislation impose a series of requirements involving restrictions on competition. 											
Link to report:	http://cnmc.es/Portals/0/Ficheros/Promocion/Informes_y_Estudios_Sectoriales/2014/140707_Informe%20ITV.pdf											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Croatia												
Market:	Personal security	Range of Possible Outcomes											
End Date:	17 January 2014	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found											
Duration:	Up to one year												
Source of idea for study:	Own initiative.												
Outcome (tick relevant columns):			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	To define the situation on the market. Based on the research, a case was initiated, existence of the cartel established and sanctioned.												
Link to report:													

Jurisdiction:	Poland												
Market:	Turf production and sale	Range of Possible Outcomes											
End Date:	December 2013	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found											
Duration:	13/08/2013-31/12/2013												
Source of idea for study:	Turf producer complaint												
Outcome (tick relevant columns):			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	Discounts policy of one of turf producers towards distributors, potential abuse of dominant position.												
Link to report:													

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Poland											
Market:	Morgue services	Range of Possible Outcomes										
End Date:	January 2013	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Government Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Duration:	1 year 7 months											
Source of idea for study:	In-house work											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	Analysis of the market, including its structure and level of concentration.											
Link to report:												

Jurisdiction:	Poland											
Market:	Fish processing	Range of Possible Outcomes										
End Date:	December 2012	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Government Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Duration:	27 months											
Source of idea for study:												
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	Detailed analysis of the market structure and its players.											
Link to report:												

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Poland	Range of Possible Outcomes										
Market:	Software for motor vehicle inspection stations											
End Date:	November 2012	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Duration:	11 months											
Source of idea for study:	In-house work											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):												
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	Study of the market for sales of motor vehicle inspection stations software. Issues: market structure and concentration, participants' conduct in the distribution process											
Link to report:												

Jurisdiction:	Germany	Range of Possible Outcomes										
Market:	Rolled Asphalt											
End Date:	September 2012	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:												
Source of idea for study:	Recurring problematic market constellations due to company interlocks between the different suppliers of rolled asphalt											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):												
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	Acquisition of in-depth knowledge of the market. Identify company interlocks between the different suppliers of rolled asphalt in Germany											
Link to report:	http://www.bundeskartellamt.de/SharedDocs/Publikation/DE/Sektoruntersuchungen/Sektoruntersuchung%20Walzasphalt%20-%20Abschlussbericht.pdf?__blob=publicationFile&v=3 (German only)											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Mexico	Range of Possible Outcomes																						
Market:	Public Procurement																							
End Date:	July 2012	<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> <div style="width: 25%;"> <p>Source of idea for study:</p> <p>It was presumed that if the public procurement procedure of the Mexican Social Security Institute (IMSS) was organized at an international level, prices would reduce.</p> </div> <div style="width: 75%;"> <table border="1"> <tr> <td style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Competition Enforcement</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Consumer Enforcement</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Consumer Education</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Business Education</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Voluntary Business Compliance</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Voluntary Business Action</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">Referral to Third Parties</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> <tr> <td style="writing-mode: vertical-rl; transform: rotate(180deg);">No Problems Found</td> <td style="text-align: center;"><input type="checkbox"/></td> </tr> </table> </div> </div>	Competition Enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer Enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer Education	<input type="checkbox"/>	Business Education	<input type="checkbox"/>	Voluntary Business Compliance	<input type="checkbox"/>	Voluntary Business Action	<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	<input type="checkbox"/>	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Referral to Third Parties	<input type="checkbox"/>	No Problems Found	<input type="checkbox"/>
Competition Enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Consumer Enforcement	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Consumer Education	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Business Education	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Voluntary Business Compliance	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Voluntary Business Action	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																							
Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>																							
Referral to Third Parties	<input type="checkbox"/>																							
No Problems Found	<input type="checkbox"/>																							

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Mexico	Range of Possible Outcomes										
Market:	Telecommunications, Open Television, Air Passenger Transport											
End Date:	June 2012	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Duration:	No available data											
Source of idea for study:	Benefits observed within markets where Foreign Direct Investment (FDI) restrictions were eliminated since the decade of the 1990's.											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	Restrictions for FDI in key markets such as, telecommunications, opened television, air transport, represented a waste opportunity to increase the physical capital in these markets coming from abroad and positive externalities coming from FDI.											
Link to report:	http://www.oecd.org/daf/competition/IEDreporteOCDECFE.pdf											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Croatia	Range of Possible Outcomes									
Market:	Retail and wholesale of computing equipment										
End Date:	13 April 2012	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found									
Duration:	8 months										
Source of idea for study:	Own initiative.										
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	<p>The market study was conducted to gain an overview of the market. There were certain competition problems identified in the past. To determine the players on the market and their markets shares for further work of the Croatian Competition Agency (there were indications of possible abuse in this market). Data and other information has been provided by undertakings (sample of undertakings) based on CCA's request.</p>										
Link to report:											

Jurisdiction:	Pakistan	Range of Possible Outcomes									
Market:	Polyester Staple Fibre										
End Date:	March, 2011	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found									
Duration:											
Source of idea for study:	Based on discussions with textile industry representatives										
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	<p>To identify competition concerns, such as efficiency, market structure, entry barriers, regulatory issues and anti-competitive practices, i.e. abuse of dominance, cartels or collusive agreements.</p>										
Link to report:	http://cc.gov.pk/images/Downloads/research and publications/competition_impact_assessment_report1.pdf										

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	France											
Market:	Online betting and gambling	Range of Possible Outcomes										
End Date:	January 2011											
Duration:	5 months											
Source of idea for study:	Ex officio initiative and response to a request by the European Gaming and Betting Association (industry organisation).	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	<p>The Autorité found it necessary to analyse the competition issues in the sector in the wake of the 2010 law opening online gambling to competition. While it enabled new entrants to get into the market, it left untouched exclusive and special rights attributed to the incumbent State operators <i>Française des jeux</i> for lotteries and sports and <i>PMU</i> for horserace when bets are placed at brick and mortar outlets. In its opinion, the Autorité advocated for an <i>ex ante</i> regulation of the price paid by operators to be granted a right to organize betting on sport events, to ensure transparency and avoid any discrimination between operators.</p> <p>Alternative operators also complained about the conditions imposed by <i>PMU</i> to allow access to horse racing data that are necessary to organise betting. The Autorité recommended that existing legal provisions be reinforced by policymakers to guarantee transparent and non-discriminatory access to said data.</p> <p>In addition, the Autorité pointed out the risk of cross subsidies by incumbent operators between their activities still under a legal monopoly (brick-and-mortar betting shops) and those now open to competition (online betting), and to the risk that these operators unduly rely on their brand recognition in one segment of the market to enhance their competitiveness in the other. It therefore recommended that the operators concerned implement a legal and functional separation between these different.</p>											
Link to report:	<p>Press release in English : http://www.autoritedelaconurrence.fr/user/standard.php?id_rub=389&id_article=1540</p> <p>Full opinion in French: http://www.autoritedelaconurrence.fr/pdf/avis/11a02.pdf</p>											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	US - DOJ	Range of Possible Outcomes									
Market:	Agriculture										
End Date:	December, 2010	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:	1 year										
Source of idea for study:	US Department of Justice and USDA										
Outcome (tick relevant columns):											
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	<p>These were the first joint Department of Justice/USDA workshops ever to be held to discuss competition and regulatory issues in the agriculture industry. The goals of the workshops were to promote dialogue among interested parties and foster learning with respect to the appropriate legal and economic analyses of these issues as well as to listen to and learn from parties with real-world experience in the agricultural sector.</p>										
Link to report:	http://www.justice.gov/atr/events/public-workshops-agriculture-and-antitrust-enforcement-issues-our-21st-century-economy-10										

Jurisdiction:	Spain	Range of Possible Outcomes									
Market:	Certification of quality and safety standards										
End Date:	July, 2010	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:	1 year										
Source of idea for study:	Own initiative. The Authority's own resolutions on certification services identified a number or restrictions in the past.										
Outcome (tick relevant columns):											
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Quality and safety standards play an important role in the economy, since it provides assurance that products and services conform to certain standards and specifications. The risk of certification leading to inefficiencies in markets is greater if there is no competition in the provision of certification services. There is a need to analyse the regulatory framework of these services and explore which practices still pose problems in terms of competition. 										
Link to report:	http://www.cnmec.es/Portals/0/Ficheros/Promocion/Informes_y_Estudios_Sectoriales/2010/Informe%20sobre%20certificacion%20DEFINITIVO%2028%2007%202010.pdf										

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Spain											
Market:	Court Procurators Services	Range of Possible Outcomes										
End Date:	May 2009	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Duration:	10 months											
Source of idea for study:	Own initiative <u>Study</u> : Report on the Activity of Court Procurators											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	<p>In Spain the regulation of the activity of <i>procura</i> or representation in court proceedings presents a series of peculiar features that are analysed in the report because they present problems as far as competition is concerned and because they will have to be revised following the transposition of the EU Services Directive into Spanish law.</p> <p>The reports end with a list of recommendations aimed at introducing competition for the benefit of consumers.</p>											
Link to report:	http://www.cnm.es/Portals/0/Ficheros/Promocion/Informes y Estudios Sectoriales/2009/CNC-PROCURADORES.pdf											