



ADVOCACY WORKING GROUP
MARKET STUDIES PROJECT

MARKET STUDIES INFORMATION STORE

SECTOR:

HEALTH

UPDATED: DECEMBER 2015

Information Store

Health

Jurisdiction:	Italy	Range of Possible Outcomes										
Market:	Vaccines for human use											
End Date:	Ongoing	Competition Enforcement <input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer Enforcement <input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer Education <input type="checkbox"/>	Business Education <input type="checkbox"/>	Voluntary Business Compliance <input type="checkbox"/>	Voluntary Business Action <input type="checkbox"/>	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure <input type="checkbox"/>	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Referral to Third Parties <input type="checkbox"/>	No Problems Found <input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:	5 months (as of November 2015)											
Source of idea for study:	The enduring demand fragmentation in the market.											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):												
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	The survey aims at investigating the market dynamics and the competitive concerns related to the market of vaccines for human use and analysing the possible critical issues regarding public tender procedures.											
Link to report:	http://www.agcm.it/en/newsroom/press-releases/2222-ic50-sector-inquiry-on-vaccines-for-human-use.html											

Jurisdiction:	US - FTC	Range of Possible Outcomes										
Market:	Pharmaceutical products											
End Date:	Annual	Competition Enforcement <input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer Enforcement <input type="checkbox"/>	Consumer Education <input type="checkbox"/>	Business Education <input type="checkbox"/>	Voluntary Business Compliance <input type="checkbox"/>	Voluntary Business Action <input type="checkbox"/>	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law <input type="checkbox"/>	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure <input type="checkbox"/>	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy <input type="checkbox"/>	Referral to Third Parties <input type="checkbox"/>	No Problems Found <input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:	Various											
Source of idea for study:	FTC initiative and statutory requirement by Congress											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):												
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	The Medicare Prescription Drug, Improvement, and Modernization Act of 2003 requires that brand-name drug manufacturers and generic drug applicants file certain agreements with the FTC and the Department of Justice. Based on the information filed, the FTC has developed a series of annual reports that summarize the number and types of agreements filed. The annual reports identify the number of agreements that constitute final resolutions of patent disputes between brand and generic pharmaceutical manufacturers that have been filed by pharmaceutical companies. A preliminary assessment summarizes the types of final settlements received in each fiscal year and describes how the results compare to filings in other recent years.											
Link to report:	https://www.ftc.gov/tips-advice/competition-guidance/industry-guidance/health-care/pharmaceutical-agreement-filings											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Brazil	Range of Possible Outcomes										
Market:	Collective bargaining involving medical services											
End Date:	2015	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Duration:	6 months											
Source of idea for study:	Own initiative											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	This published analysis consolidated, systematized and disseminated CADE's jurisprudence on collective bargaining involving medical services.											
Link to report:	http://www.cade.gov.br/upload/Cadernos%20do%20Cade%20%E2%80%93%20Mercado%20de%20Sa%C3%BAde%20Suplementar%20Condi%C3%A7%C3%B5es%20de%20Atividade%20de%20Sa%C3%BAde%20Suplementar%202015.pdf											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	US - DOJ											
Market:	Multiple provider and insurance markets	Range of Possible Outcomes										
End Date:	February 2015	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Duration:	2-day workshop											
Source of idea for study:	Agency recognition of changing marketplace											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	<p>The Antitrust Division of the Department of Justice and the Federal Trade Commission (the Agencies) held a public workshop, "Examining Health Care Competition," to study developments related to health care provider organization and payment models that may affect competition in the provision of health care services. Topics of discussion included early observations of accountable care organizations, alternatives to traditional fee-for-service payment model, trends in provider consolidation, trends in provider network and benefit design strategies, as well as contracting practices and regulatory activity that may enhance or undermine these strategies, and early observations of health insurance exchanges. The Agencies have not issued a report, but have made the transcript of and the video of the workshop available to the public at the following web site.</p>											
Link to report:	http://www.justice.gov/atr/events/public-workshop-examining-health-care-competition											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	US - FTC										
Market:	Healthcare services	Range of Possible Outcomes									
End Date:	March 2014 February 2015	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:	Two 2-day workshops										
Source of idea for study:	FTC and DOJ experience										
Outcome (tick relevant columns):											
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	Workshop: Examining Health Care Competition. The FTC and DOJ jointly held two public workshops entitled "Examining Health Care Competition." The workshops focused on certain activities and trends that may affect competition in the evolving health care industry, including those related to: professional regulation of health care providers; innovations in health care delivery; advancements in health care technology; measuring and assessing health care quality; price transparency of health care services; alternatives to the traditional fee-for service payment model; and early observations regarding health insurance exchanges.										
Link to report:	2014 Workshop transcript, video, an agenda, and additional event-related materials are available at: http://www.ftc.gov/news-events/events-calendar/2014/03/examining-health-care-competition 2015 Workshop transcript, video, an agenda, and additional event-related materials are available at: https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/events-calendar/2015/02/examining-health-care-competition										

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Sweden											
Market:	System of choice of primary healthcare provider	Range of Possible Outcomes										
End Date:	December 2014											
Duration:	16 months (the government commission was extended and expanded in February 2014)	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Source of idea for study:	Government commissioned report											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	To monitor county councils' work with the system of choice of healthcare providers from a competition perspective and assess the conditions for competition on quality between primary healthcare providers. Also to assess how the way the compensation system is formed affects the development of the provider's operations. (Based on the Act on System of Choice in the Public Sector which provides for a contracting authority to open parts of its activities for competition, by establishing a system of choice for the services covered by the system)											
Link to report:	http://www.konkurrensverket.se/globalassets/publikationer/rapporter/rapport_2014-2.pdf (in Swedish)											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Estonia	Range of Possible Outcomes									
Market:	Rehabilitation service										
End Date:	April 2014	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found									
Duration:	4 months										
Source of idea for study:	Public resources, market participants										
Outcome (tick relevant columns):			<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	Estonian Health Insurance Fund prefers to finance the medical services provided by hospitals referred to the development plan of hospital network. Such practice leads to the competitive disadvantage for other health care providers operating on this field.										
Link to report:											



ADVOCACY WORKING GROUP
MARKET STUDIES PROJECT

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	US - FTC												
Market:	Nurses	Range of Possible Outcomes											
End Date:	March 2014	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:													
Source of idea for study:	FTC experience												
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	<p>Policy Perspectives: Competition and the Regulation of Advanced Practice Nurses. FTC staff issued a policy paper* suggesting that state legislators should be cautious when evaluating proposals to limit the scope of practice of Advanced Practice Registered Nurses (APRNs). By limiting the range of services APRNs may provide and the extent to which they can practice independently, such proposals may reduce competition that benefits consumers, the paper states. The policy paper notes the potential benefits of improved competition in the provision of primary health care services. The policy paper sets forth recommended principles for evaluating APRN scope of practice proposals. As the policy paper states, "Numerous expert health care policy organizations have concluded that expanded APRN scope of practice should be a key component of our nation's strategy to deliver effective health care efficiently and, in particular, to fill gaps in primary care access. Based on our extensive knowledge of health care markets, economic principles, and competition theory, the FTC staff reach the same conclusion: expanded APRN scope of practice is good for competition and American consumers."</p> <p>*A policy paper is longer, more deeply researched, and more detailed in its analysis than a typical staff advocacy comment, but shorter and more focused than most FTC reports. It provides another mechanism for FTC staff to share our expertise and learning, outside the context of a specific legislative or regulatory proposal. A concise policy paper enables us to synthesize previous agency study, related advocacy comments, pertinent outside research, and ongoing analytical work by FTC staff</p>												
Link to report:	https://www.ftc.gov/reports/policy-perspectives-competition-regulation-advanced-practice-nurses												

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Sweden											
Market:	Dental care	Range of Possible Outcomes										
End Date:	December 2013	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:	12 months											
Source of idea for study:	Part of government commissioned report into competition conditions in Sweden in key focus areas. Topics for study were selected by the SCA.											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):												
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	<p>Competition in the market for dental care for adults is weak, there is scope for increased competition in this market. A fundamental problem is patients' weak position with respect to their dentist, due to information asymmetries and limited possibilities to easily compare prices and quality between different dental care providers. It is very likely that this contributes to the low patient mobility that can be observed in the market, where patients rarely change dentists. In addition, awareness of the public dental insurance scheme is low. The scheme subsidises a part of the cost of more comprehensive and costly treatments. This means that patients only pay a part of the treatment costs themselves, and that the Swedish Social Insurance Agency reimburses the dentist with the remainder of the cost.</p>											
Link to report:	http://www.konkurrensverket.se/globalassets/publikationer/rapporter/rapport_2013-10_summary.pdf (English summary of report and recommendations)											

Jurisdiction:	Pakistan											
Market:	Private Sector Healthcare	Range of Possible Outcomes										
End Date:	July, 2013	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:												
Source of idea for study:	Reference from the Govt.											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):												
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	<p>The aim of the research was to provide information about performance of private healthcare sector with respect to cost and customers' satisfaction. This Report focused on competition issues comprising market dominance, deceptive marketing, tying practices, market entry and regulation of the sector.</p>											
Link to report:	http://cc.gov.pk/images/Downloads/research_and_publications/private_health_care_competition_assessment.pdf											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Colombia	Range of Possible Outcomes									
Market:	Supply of Vacancies for Medical Specialities in Colombia										
End Date:	2013	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found									
Duration:	4 months										
Source of idea for study:	Own initiative										
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	In Colombia there is a shortage of medical specialists. Given that there are 56 medical schools in the country qualified to offer specialities courses, the purpose of this study is to identify whether there are regulatory barriers that explain this phenomenon.										
Link to report:	http://www.sic.gov.co/drupal/sites/default/files/files/Estudio_Sectorial_Medicos.pdf										

Jurisdiction:	Sweden	Range of Possible Outcomes									
Market:	Laboratory services to primary healthcare providers										
End Date:	July 2012	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found									
Duration:	16 months										
Source of idea for study:	Own initiative										
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	To analyse the market for a number of common laboratory analyses ordered by primary healthcare services within six county councils, using the price per unit for each analysis, and also the factors that play a role when prices are set.										
Link to report:	http://www.konkurrensverket.se/globalassets/aktuellt/nyheter/las-rapporten-14mb-2012-06-07.pdf (in Swedish with English summary)										

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Colombia	Range of Possible Outcomes										
Market:	Health Insurance market in Colombia											
End Date:	2012											
Duration:	6 months											
Source of idea for study:	Own initiative in cooperation with the European Union: Technical Assistance Project for Commerce in Colombia	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	Analysis of market failures in health insurances in Colombia and the interventions made by the Superintendence of Industry and Commerce in those issues. Due to the existence of failures, the market on health insurances is highly concentrated at a departmental level and there is an evident dominant position.											
Link to report:	http://www.sic.gov.co/drupal/masive/datos/estudios%20economicos/Documentos%20%20elaborados%20por%20la%20Delegatura%20de%20Protecci%C3%B3n%20de%20la%20Competencia/2012/AS%202013.pdf											

Jurisdiction:	Sweden	Range of Possible Outcomes										
Market:	Choice of primary healthcare provider											
End Date:	February 2012											
Duration:	12 months											
Source of idea for study:	Government commissioned report	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	To analyse the development of diversity within primary healthcare and to analyse the preconditions for competition on quality within the system of choice in the healthcare sector. (Based on the Act on System of Choice in the Public Sector, which applies when a contracting authority opens parts of its activities for competition, by establishing a system of choice for the services covered by the system.)											
Link to report:	http://www.konkurrensverket.se/globalassets/aktuellt/nyheter/rappor-val-av-vardcentral---forutsattningar-for-kvalitetskonkurrens-i-vardvalssystemen-17mb.pdf (in Swedish with English summary)											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	US - FTC	Range of Possible Outcomes									
Market:	Accountable Care Organizations										
End Date:	October 2010 May 2011	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:	Two 1-day workshops										
Source of idea for study:	FTC experience										
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	<p>Workshop Regarding Accountable Care Organizations and Implications Regarding Antitrust, Physician Self-Referral, Anti-Kickback and Civil Monetary Penalty Laws. In 2010, the FTC, the Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services and DHHS co-hosted a workshop on several issues associated with Accountable Care Organizations (ACOs), organizations authorized by the Affordable Care Act of 2010 that seek to deliver high-quality and efficient health care services to consumers. The workshop addressed and sought public comments on the legal issues raised by various ACO models being considered by health care providers.</p> <p>In 2011, FTC hosted a workshop, "Another Dose of Competition: Accountable Care Organizations and Antitrust," to seek input on the FTC's Proposed Statement of Antitrust Enforcement Policy, which discusses how the federal antitrust agencies will enforce U.S. antitrust laws when competing health care providers create new ACOs under the Affordable Care Act of 2010.</p>										
Link to report:	2010 workshop: http://www.ftc.gov/opp/workshops/aco/index.shtml 2011 workshop: http://www.ftc.gov/opp/workshops/aco2/index.shtml										

Jurisdiction:	Estonia	Range of Possible Outcomes									
Market:	Dental care service										
End Date:	April 2011	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:	2 months										
Source of idea for study:	Public resources										
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	Existing dental care advertising ban is a significant barrier to free competition. It is doubtful whether this restriction is based on the public interest and proportionate means to achieve the objectives.										
Link to report:											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Sweden	Range of Possible Outcomes									
Market:	Pharmacy market										
End Date:	December 2010										
Duration:	31 Months (The initial government commission was for a period of 19 months, but was extended to allow time for the re-regulated market to be properly established).										
Source of idea for study:	Government commissioned report										
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	The Swedish Competition Authority was assigned by the Government to monitor and analyse the deregulation of the pharmacy market from a competition perspective.										
Link to report:	http://www.konkurrensverket.se/globalassets/aktuellt/nyheter/omregleringen-av-apoteksmarknaden.pdf (In Swedish with summary in English)										

Jurisdiction:	Sweden	Range of Possible Outcomes									
Market:	System of choice of primary healthcare provider										
End Date:	November 2010										
Duration:	18 Months to final report (interim reports delivered in December 2009 and May 2010).										
Source of idea for study:	Government commissioned report										
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	To monitor and evaluate county councils' introduction of a system of choice for healthcare provider from a competition perspective. (Based on the Act on System of Choice in the Public Sector introduced in 2010, which applies when a contracting authority opens parts of its activities for competition, by establishing a system of choice for the services covered by the system.)										
Link to report:	http://www.konkurrensverket.se/publikationer/uppfoljning-av-varldval-i-primarvarden.-valfrihet-mangfald-och-etableringsforutsattningar.-slutrapport/ (In Swedish)										

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	EU Commission												
Market:	pharmaceuticals	Range of Possible Outcomes											
End Date:	July 2009	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:	1.5 year												
Source of idea for study:	Ex officio												
Outcome (tick relevant columns):													
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	Response to indications that competition in Europe's pharmaceuticals markets may not be working well: fewer new medicines were being brought to market, and the entry of generic medicines sometimes seemed to be delayed. The inquiry looked at the reasons for this												
Link to report:	http://ec.europa.eu/competition/sectors/pharmaceuticals/inquiry/index.html												

Jurisdiction:	Honduras												
Market:	Private specialist goods and services in Health Sector	Range of Possible Outcomes											
End Date:	February 2009	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:	7 months												
Source of idea for study:	Internal												
Outcome (tick relevant columns):													
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	To look into allegations/perception of corruption in relation to the supply of goods and services to the government.												
Link to report:													

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	US - FTC											
Market:	Physician Services	Range of Possible Outcomes										
End Date:	May 2008	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:	2 months											
Source of idea for study:	Bureau of Competition											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):												
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	The workshop examined current activities aimed at fostering high quality, cost-effective care through collaborations among otherwise independent providers, including an examination of programs already operating as well as those in development.											
Link to report:	https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/events-calendar/2008/05/clinical-integration-health-care-check											

Jurisdiction:	US - FTC											
Market:	Health Care Delivery	Range of Possible Outcomes										
End Date:	2008	Competition Enforcement Consumer Enforcement Consumer Education Business Education Voluntary Business Compliance Voluntary Business Action Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy Referral to Third Parties No Problems Found	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Duration:	3 months											
Source of idea for study:	Bureau of Competition											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):												
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	The workshop examined the competition and consumer protection issues regarding particular health care delivery innovations. The workshop focused on the following areas: limited services clinics, price and quality transparency, and health information technology.											
Link to report:	https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/events-calendar/2008/04/innovations-health-care-delivery											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	Norway	Range of Possible Outcomes										
Market:	Specialist health care											
End Date:	2006	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Duration:	10 months											
Source of idea for study:	Commissioned report by the NCA											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	A commissioned report focusing on competition in the Norwegian specialist health care sector, concluding i.e. that waiting times and quality can be improved if public hospitals have to compete for patients. Important conditions for this are free patient choice and sufficiently high 'voucher' value for the specific treatment.											
Link to report:												

Jurisdiction:	Japan	Range of Possible Outcomes										
Market:	Medical Equipment Distribution											
End Date:	December 2005	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Duration:	5 months											
Source of idea for study:	-External complaints and internal competition concern -Difference between domestic and foreign prices -Follow up of 1997 survey											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	It had been pointed out that there had been differences between domestic and foreign prices of medical equipment and that the reasons for this were the trade practice and the corporate behaviour in the distribution. It was pointed out that the trade practice and corporate behaviour might have changed after the medical service reform, so JFTC checked whether they had really changed.											
Link to report:	(Press release and full report in Japanese) http://warp.ndl.go.jp/info:ndljp/pid/3483403/www.jftc.go.jp/pressrelease/05.december/05122703.html (Summary report in English) http://www.jftc.go.jp/en/pressreleases/yearly_2005/dec/2005_dec_27.files/2005-Dec-27.pdf											

Information Store

Jurisdiction:	US - FTC											
Market:	Contact Lenses	Range of Possible Outcomes										
End Date:	February 2005	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Duration:	1 year											
Source of idea for study:	Congress											
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	At the request of Congress, the FTC studied the online and offline markets for contact lenses. The study investigated the degree to which certain marketing practices may retard online sellers, and resulted in recommendations for change to government policy.											
Link to report:	https://www.ftc.gov/reports/strength-competition-sale-rx-contact-lenses-ftc-study											

Jurisdiction:	US - DOJ											
Market:	Health Care	Range of Possible Outcomes										
End Date:	July 2004	Competition Enforcement	Consumer Enforcement	Consumer Education	Business Education	Voluntary Business Compliance	Voluntary Business Action	Recommendations to Government for Changes in the Law	Recommendations to Government to Change Market Structure	Recommendations for Changes to Government Policy	Referral to Third Parties	No Problems Found
Duration:	17 months											
Source of idea for study:												
Outcome (tick relevant columns):		<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
Reason for study (what were the problems)?	The study addresses the role of competition in health care, how it can be enhanced to increase consumer welfare and how antitrust enforcement can protect existing and potential competition in health care.											
Link to report:	http://www.justice.gov/atr/public/health_care/204694.htm											